ATARC powered by GovExec

From Records to Readiness:

Federal Agencies Confront the Operational Reality of Digital Transformation

IN PARTNERSHIP WITH

IRON MOUNTAIN®
GOVERNMENT SOLUTIONS

Executive Summary

Federal agencies are entering a new era of operational complexity driven by explosive data growth, expanding cybersecurity requirements, accelerating adoption of artificial intelligence, and increasing public expectations for digital services. At the same time, agencies continue to manage decades of legacy systems, fragmented records environments, inconsistent metadata standards, and highly decentralized operational structures.

During an executive roundtable discussion conducted under Chatham House Rules, federal, state, judicial, and local government technology leaders explored the operational challenges associated with records modernization, enterprise visibility, digitization, AI readiness, and long-term data governance. Participants represented a broad cross-section of government organizations, including civilian agencies, judicial organizations, research institutions, defense organizations, acquisition offices, state governments, and local governments.

A central conclusion emerged throughout the discussions: government modernization efforts are no longer simply technology initiatives. They are operational transformation efforts requiring agencies to rethink governance, records management, interoperability, lifecycle management, and enterprise accountability.

Government leaders repeatedly emphasized that agencies are struggling with a growing disconnect between the volume of information being collected and their ability to organize, govern, search, secure, and operationalize that information effectively. In many environments, agencies possess massive quantities of data but lack confidence in the accuracy, accessibility, interoperability, or long-term usability of those records.

The consequences are increasingly significant. Agencies that fail to modernize their records and data management strategies risk:

- Escalating operational costs
- Growing cybersecurity exposure
- Compliance failures
- Inefficient AI adoption
- Slower decision-making
- Declining public trust

Organizations that continue relying on fragmented legacy environments may also struggle to meet future accessibility, transparency, and interoperability requirements.

At the same time, participants highlighted important progress occurring across government. Agencies are moving toward born-digital workflows, enterprise governance models, AI-assisted operations, cloud-based collaboration, and centralized records management approaches. Many organizations are also beginning to recognize that modernization requires not only digitizing records, but ensuring those records are structured, governed, searchable, secure, and usable for future operational needs.

The discussions reinforced that the next phase of federal modernization will depend less on simply creating digital records and more on establishing operational discipline around how those records are managed throughout their lifecycle.

The Shift from Physical Records to Digital Operations

Government has spent decades attempting to transition from paper-based operations to digital workflows. While meaningful progress has been achieved, attendees noted that many organizations are still operating in siloed environments where physical and digital processes coexist simultaneously.

Several leaders described how agencies initially approached digitization by simply scanning physical documents into electronic formats. While this reduced some physical storage burdens, it often failed to create truly searchable, interoperable, or machine-readable records environments.

Participants emphasized that many early digitization efforts produced static image-based files that preserved documents visually but did not preserve their operational usability. As a result, agencies today are managing enormous repositories of PDFs, scanned documents, photographs, and image-based files that remain difficult to search, classify, analyze, or integrate into modern AI-enabled workflows.

This challenge is particularly pronounced in environments with long-term retention requirements. Judicial organizations, infrastructure agencies, research organizations, and property management offices described maintaining records with retention requirements extending decades or even permanently into the future.

One participant noted that many local governments continue storing critical infrastructure records, maps, and engineering diagrams in physical formats or disconnected systems. Another described environments where agencies still rely heavily on microfilm archives or scanned photographs embedded inside PDF documents that contain little usable metadata.

Contributors stressed that digitization alone does not solve the broader records management challenge. Agencies must also ensure that records remain accessible, structured, interoperable, compliant, and operationally useful over time.

The Metadata and Discoverability Crisis

One of the most significant themes throughout the discussions involved metadata and discoverability.

Participants repeatedly described environments where agencies possess vast amounts of information but struggle to determine what data exists, where it resides, whether it is authoritative, or how it should be governed. In many cases, organizations lack standardized metadata structures, consistent tagging practices, or centralized cataloging capabilities.

This creates operational inefficiencies that extend far beyond records management.

Without consistent metadata and indexing standards, agencies face growing challenges supporting FOIA requests, legal discovery, operational reporting, accessibility compliance, cybersecurity investigations, and AI adoption initiatives. Some government leaders noted that many systems were originally designed for document storage rather than enterprise discoverability or machine-assisted analysis.

One participant described how multiple agencies repeatedly validate the same citizen identity information across separate systems because records cannot easily interoperate across organizational boundaries. Another discussed how inconsistent document formatting and metadata structures complicate AI training and automated analysis.

Several leaders emphasized that metadata discipline is becoming increasingly important as agencies begin implementing artificial intelligence and advanced search capabilities. AI systems depend heavily on clean, structured, and well-governed information environments. Poorly organized or inconsistently formatted records significantly reduce AI effectiveness and increase the likelihood of inaccurate outputs.

Participants also highlighted the operational cost implications of unmanaged digital growth. Agencies increasingly face environments where storage appears unlimited, encouraging organizations to retain large quantities of unstructured information without clear governance strategies.

As one participant observed, agencies have shifted from worrying about physical storage limitations to managing overwhelming quantities of digital information that may have little long-term operational value.

The discussion reinforced that discoverability is no longer a secondary records-management concern. It is rapidly becoming a foundational operational requirement.

Legacy Systems and the Complexity of Transition

Contributors consistently described modernization as an evolutionary process rather than a clean transition.

Many agencies continue operating legacy platforms alongside modern digital systems. In some environments, organizations are maintaining decades-old software applications while simultaneously attempting to implement cloud-based collaboration tools, AI-assisted workflows, and modern enterprise architectures.

Several participants discussed the operational burden associated with preserving compatibility between legacy records and modern systems. Agencies often must maintain historical records in outdated formats while ensuring those records remain accessible for legal, operational, or historical purposes.

One participant described the challenge of maintaining a 40-year-old publishing workflow while transitioning toward XML-based digital publishing systems. Another discussed the difficulty of integrating records across organizations using entirely different data structures, document standards, and operational platforms.

The challenge becomes even more complex when agencies attempt to apply automation or AI capabilities to legacy environments. Attendees noted that many older records were never designed for machine readability or structured analysis. In some cases, organizations discovered that foundational documents themselves contained formatting inconsistencies or structural errors that prevented effective AI processing.

These realities complicate modernization planning significantly. Agencies are not merely replacing systems. They are attempting to preserve institutional continuity, maintain compliance, support ongoing operations, and enable future innovation simultaneously.

Participants repeatedly emphasized that modernization efforts must account for long-term interoperability and future adaptability. Decisions made today regarding file formats, metadata standards, governance structures, and storage architectures may significantly affect agencies' ability to adopt future technologies.

AI Adoption Depends on Information Readiness

Artificial intelligence emerged as both a major opportunity and a major operational concern throughout the discussions.

Participants expressed strong interest in using AI to:

- Improve records management
- Automate document analysis
- Accelerate search capabilities
- Support accessibility compliance
- Improve citizen services
- Streamline operational workflows.

However, practitioners also cautioned that AI readiness depends heavily on underlying information quality.

Several leaders emphasized that agencies cannot assume AI will automatically compensate for fragmented, inconsistent, or poorly governed records environments. In many cases, AI systems amplify existing organizational weaknesses rather than solving them.

One participant described efforts to train AI systems against acquisition regulations, only to discover that the source documents themselves did not follow their own formatting standards consistently. As a result, the AI produced inaccurate interpretations until the underlying documents were corrected and restructured.

Another participant discussed the significant operational and financial differences between extracting text from native digital documents versus image-based PDFs requiring multimodal AI analysis and OCR processing.

These examples reinforced a broader point repeated throughout the discussions: successful AI implementation requires disciplined information governance, standardized formatting, accurate metadata, and operational consistency.

Participants stressed that agencies pursuing AI adoption without first addressing foundational records management challenges may encounter increased operational risk, inaccurate outputs, higher costs, and reduced trust in AI-generated results.

At the same time, participants recognized AI's potential to significantly improve operational efficiency once agencies establish cleaner and more structured information environments.

Governance, Compliance, and Public Trust

Contributors repeatedly highlighted the governance implications associated with records modernization.

Government records are not merely operational artifacts. They are legal, regulatory, historical, and public accountability instruments. Agencies therefore face unique responsibilities regarding transparency, accessibility, retention, security, and chain of custody.

Several participants discussed the complexity of balancing records retention requirements against operational realities. Some records must be retained permanently. Others have strict destruction timelines. Still others involve overlapping legal, operational, and historical considerations that complicate disposition decisions.

Participants also noted that modernization efforts increasingly intersect with public transparency requirements, accessibility mandates, and cybersecurity obligations.

One leader described how ADA compliance requirements are forcing agencies to reevaluate large quantities of previously digitized content that was never designed for machine readability or accessibility standards. Another described the operational burden associated with maintaining chain-of-custody controls during major organizational relocations.

Attendees emphasized that modernization initiatives must preserve public trust while enabling operational efficiency.

This challenge becomes particularly significant as agencies attempt to consolidate systems, automate workflows, and centralize information management processes. Organizations must ensure that modernization efforts do not weaken legal defensibility, records authenticity, accessibility compliance, or operational accountability.

Cost Management and Operational Sustainability

While many modernization initiatives are framed around efficiency, participants stressed that operational sustainability remains a major concern.

Several leaders described the hidden costs associated with long-term digital storage, metadata remediation, AI processing, accessibility conversion, and legacy system maintenance. Others highlighted the importance of understanding total cost of ownership when evaluating records management technologies and cloud-based platforms.

Government leaders noted that many agencies underestimate the ongoing operational costs associated with digital transformation. Storage, indexing, migration, compliance, interoperability, cybersecurity, and AI integration all introduce long-term financial implications that extend well beyond initial implementation costs.

One participant emphasized that agencies must move beyond procurement models focused solely on initial acquisition pricing and instead evaluate technologies based on long-term operational sustainability and integration requirements.

The discussions also highlighted growing concern regarding unmanaged digital accumulation. Agencies increasingly face environments where data retention expands continuously without corresponding governance strategies or lifecycle management disciplines.

Without stronger governance, participants warned that agencies risk creating increasingly expensive and operationally unsustainable digital ecosystems.

The Emerging Federal Operating Model

Despite the challenges described throughout the discussions, attendees expressed cautious optimism regarding the future of government modernization.

Agencies across government are beginning to recognize that records modernization, AI adoption, enterprise governance, cybersecurity, accessibility, and operational efficiency are deeply interconnected challenges rather than isolated initiatives.

Participants described growing efforts to establish centralized data offices, enterprise governance frameworks, interoperability standards, digital intake processes, and more structured lifecycle management strategies.

The discussions also revealed a broader cultural shift occurring across government. Agencies increasingly understand that modernization is not simply about reducing paper usage or migrating systems to the cloud. It is about creating operational environments where information can move securely, accurately, and efficiently across organizations while remaining accessible, trustworthy, and usable over time.

This transition requires collaboration across technology leaders, acquisition officials, records managers, legal teams, cybersecurity professionals, operational leadership, and mission owners.

Contributors repeatedly emphasized that agencies succeeding in modernization are those approaching it as an enterprise transformation effort rather than a standalone IT project.

Conclusion

Federal agencies are entering a critical period in which records modernization and operational readiness are becoming inseparable.

The discussions revealed that many organizations have made significant progress digitizing records and modernizing workflows. However, agencies are also confronting the operational consequences of decades of fragmented systems, inconsistent standards, unmanaged digital growth, and incomplete governance structures.

The next phase of modernization will require agencies to move beyond simple digitization toward fully integrated information governance strategies that support discoverability, interoperability, accessibility, cybersecurity, AI readiness, and long-term operational sustainability.

Agencies that fail to make this transition risk increasing operational inefficiency, escalating costs, reduced transparency, weaker cybersecurity posture, and diminished ability to leverage emerging technologies effectively.

Organizations that succeed will likely be those that establish disciplined governance frameworks, improve metadata quality, modernize legacy workflows, and treat information as a strategic operational asset rather than merely a compliance requirement.

The discussions reinforced a clear message from federal practitioners: modernization is no longer about converting paper into digital files. It is about ensuring government information remains usable, trusted, secure, accessible, and operationally valuable in an increasingly data-driven future.